Quality Adjustment of Service Prices in Japan

Yoshihide Ichikawa Chief Manager, Price Statistics Division, Research and Statistics Department,Bank of Japan

Outline of CSPI Corporate Service Price Statistics

- started in 1991.
- The CSPI covers about 60% of total transaction value of all intercorporations services.
- There are 8 Major groups, 17groups, 39 subgroups, 102 items, about 3,000 price data in the CSPI.
- A weight of item is each transaction value in the I-O Tables of corresponding service in 1995.
- Next major revision is scheduled in 2004.

Environment surrounding Quality adjustment in Service prices

- Rapid technological innovations
- rapid change of service contents in the IT related industries
- Expanding made to order services
- Deregulation has progressed in many services
- Number of replacement of price data in 2000 361 cases in the CSPI (919 cases in the WPI)

Various Quality Adjustment Methods and Actual Usage in compiling the CSPI

- We use 4 types of Quality Adjustment methods
- 1 Direct Comparison Method (40% in 2000)
- 2 Unit price Comparison Method (5%)
- 3 Overlap Method (around 1%)
- 4 Production Cost method (10%)
- 5 Hedonic Regression Method (not yet use)
- over 40 % of Quality Adjustments were "difficult to compare"

Effects of Quality Adjustment in the CSPI

- Price decline = decrease in nominal price
 - + price reduction by improvement of quality
- Effect of Quality adjustment in the CSPI was 0.1% in 2000 (0.4% in the WPI), 0.0% in 2001 (0.4% in the WPI)
- This difference shows the gap between the low productivity growth of non-manufacturers and the high productivity growth of manufacturers

Difficulty in Quality Adjustment of Service output

- Ambiguity of Definition of service output
- Limitations in data availabitity
- Difficulty to evaluate quality change from demand side
 - 1) in capturing the quality change of customized services
 - 2) in estimating the impact of technological innovations

3) in dealing with the impact of recent deregulations

Pricing and Quality Adjustment under a Monopoly

- Difficulty to estimate difference in quality from the price gaps between old and new services
- → New price of Monopolistic service reflects marginal cost and monopolistic rent
- Monopolisic producers may change their monopolistic powers according to the quality change of their services

2002/10/7

Direction toward Quality Adjustment methods

1) The wider application of Hedonic regression method

)Econometric model approach based on the concept of saving/wasting of time 3)Econometric model approach based on the idea to estimate the relation between changes in quality and that in prices by capturing the behavior of monopolistic companies

The impotant key for success is to collect sufficient detailed data

2002/10/7

Our next revision plan of the CSPI

 Our plan for next revision of the CSPI spring 2003 release of preliminary plan and asking for public comments autumn 2003 relaese of final plan December 2004 release of new CSPI
Our trial for next revision of the CSPI Hedonic regression method and/or Econometric model approach for Telecommunication services